Meeting Minutes July 13 - 14, 2000

In attendance: Louanne Declusin - co-leader, Jacinta Arteaga, Cynthia Denenholz, Linda English, Marta James, Kim Mel, Mary O'Hare-Teich, Shirley Roberts, Pat Solomon.

Facilitator: Kathy Lalonde Scribe: Shirley Roberts Spokesperson: Cynthia Denenholz

The case processing committee decided the two most important goals that need to be accomplished would be:

- 1. To define case processing and it's components
- 2. Develop realistic best practices for key components within the parameters of the legislation.

Obstacles for implementation were listed as:

- 1. A variance of systems, making it difficult to develop best practices for all counties.
  - 2. Legislation demographics
  - 3. Change culture staff buy-in
  - 4. Commissioner and clerk's cooperation

Obstacles toward accomplishing goals:

- 1. Time frames
- 2. Data collection
- 3. Work group attendance
- 4. Commute for committee members
- 5. Allocation of member's time homework
- 6. Lack of information and unknown resources
- 7. Difficulty in reaching a consensus

Obstacles with **time constraints** include:

• Personal - Data/information - P3 - Process scope

#### Difficulty of consensus includes:

- A diverse constituency within the group and within each individuals constituency
- Compiling data and making choices

The committee decided to vote on five main issues/tasks to accomplish:

- Develop an implementation guideline and plan
- Define case construct
- Decide on processing categories i.e., intake, locate, establishment, and enforcement
- Matrix: analysis and development
- Process: automated or not

The committee decided through its votes to work on the following issues/tasks:

- 1. Processing categories
  - Construct
  - Compliance time frames/regulations
- 2. Matrix: Development and analysis
  - Best practices
- 3. Process: automated or not
- 4. Develop an implementation guideline and plan

The committee set the agendas for the next five meetings and made a list of action items.

### **ACTION ITEMS**

### **For Case Processing Committee**

The following items were discussed and agreed upon by members as being needed by the next meeting to be held Friday, July 21, 2000:

- Louanne Declusin bring questionnaires, review guide, flow charts, statistical reports, CS157.
- Linda English bring compiled statistical reports by county size (small, medium and large), interstate best practices FSD letter.
- Jacinta Arteaga bring CDAA family support officer college blue binder including flow chart.

- Marta James review FTB information to share with committee with regard to case processing and systems information.
- Kathy Lalonde bring Post-it notes in various colors, markers, all copies of handouts (20 each).
- Kathy Lalonde, Linda English and OCSE rep will bring reports, matrices, graphics and charts specific to case processing practices.
- All committee members anyone with access to flow charts and compliance time frame charts is asked to bring them to the next meeting.
- Pat Solomon Case review checklist, flow chart.
- Peggy Jensen will need to discuss the issue of freeing up the state committee member's time for the P3
  project.
- Feds obtain time frames and compliance information
- Get 20 copies of the CFRs.
- CAMP need information on their duties
- Tools who will develop?
- Delegate responsibilities for the processing categories.
- Coordinate with other groups on their actions.

### July 21, 2000

- I. Introductions and review of meeting notes from July 13 and 14, 2000. (.75 hr)
- II. Discuss Processing Categories:
  - a) Define case construct (1 hr)
  - b) Functional categories (.5 hr)
  - c) Sub-categories (2 hrs)
  - d) Compliance time frames/regs for each sub-category (.5 hr)
  - e) Homework assignments and goals for next meeting (.25 hr)

- \* Within each category/task:
  - 1. Review existing practices for each category
  - 2. Rate practices keeping in mind:
    - a) Cost effectiveness
    - b) Compliance
    - c) Customer service
    - d) Statistical reports
  - 3. Was a consensus reached?
  - 4. Update the matrix

# Meeting August 11, 2000

- I. Review meeting notes from last meeting and any actions items that were completed (.5 hr).
- II. Begin Matrix:
  - 1. Intake (2 hr)
  - 2. Locate (1 hr)
  - 3. Establishment (1 hr) continued at next meeting
  - 4. Homework assignments and goals for next meeting (.5 hr)

- \* Within each category/task:
  - 1. Review existing practices for each category
  - 2. Rate practices keeping in mind:
    - a) Cost effectiveness
    - b) Compliance
    - c) Customer service
    - d) Statistical reports
  - 3. Was a consensus reached?
  - 4. Update the matrix

# Meeting August 25, 2000

- I. Review meeting notes from August 11, 2000 and discuss action items. (.5)
- II. Continue with the Matrix:
  - 1. Establishment (4 hrs)
  - 2. Homework assignments and goals for next meeting (.5 hr)
- \* Within each category/task:
  - 1. Review existing practices for each category

- 2. Rate practices keeping in mind:
  - a) Cost effectiveness
  - b) Compliance
  - c) Customer service
  - d) Statistical reports
- 3. Was a consensus reached?
- 4. Update the matrix

# Meeting September 8, 2000

- I. Review meeting notes from August 25, 2000 and action items. (.5 hr)
- II. Continue with Matrix:
  - 1. Enforcement (2 hrs)
  - 2. Interstate (2 hrs to finish other areas)
  - 3. Medical
  - 4. Duplicate case transfer
  - 5. Review and adjustment

- 6. Case closure
- 7. Homework assignment and goals for next meeting. (.5 hr)
- \* Within each category/task:
  - 1. Review existing practices for each category
  - 2. Rate practices keeping in mind:
    - a) Cost effectiveness
    - b) Compliance
    - c) Customer service
    - d) Statistical reports
  - 3. Was a consensus reached?
  - 4. Update the matrix

# Meeting September 22, 2000

- I. Review meeting notes and action items. (.5 hr)
- II. Write the report.